Sketching Algorithms for Big Data

Fall 2017

Lecture 07 — September 21, 2017

Prof. Jelani Nelson

Scribe: James Yang

1 Overview

Today: Point query/Heavy hitter

- 1. l_1 point query: $query(i) = x_i \pm \frac{1}{k} \|x_{tail(k)}\|_1$
- 2. l_1 heavy hitters: heavy() outputs $L \in [n]$ such that (1) $|x_i| > \frac{1}{k} ||x_{tail(k)}||_1 \implies i \in L$, "i is a $\frac{1}{k}$ -heavy hitter" (2) |L| = O(k)

In this lecture we are going to cover few algorithms on point query and heavy hitters.

2 l_1 point query

CountMin sketch [1] (See Figure 1)

- 1. Hashing $h_1, ..., h_r : [n] \to [t]$, drawn independently from 2-wise family
- 2. Each grid cell is a counter we store in memory
- 3. $update(i, \triangle), C_{j,h_j(i)} \leftarrow C_{j,h_j(i)} + \triangle$
- 4. For now, assume $\forall i, x_i \geq 0$, (strict turnstile assumption)
- 5. query(i): output $\tilde{x}_i = \min_{1 \le j \le r} C_{j,h_j(i)}$

Analysis

1. Want to show

$$\mathbb{P}(|\tilde{x}_i - x_i| > \frac{1}{k} \cdot \|x_{tail(k)}\|_1) < \eta$$

2. Suffices to show for fixed: $j \in [r]$

$$\mathbb{P}(|C_{j,h_j(i)} - x_i| > \frac{1}{k} \cdot ||x_{tail(k)}||_1) \le \frac{1}{2}$$



Figure 1: CountMin Sketch

3. Define event:

 $E_i={\rm event}$ that none of the top k entries in x collide with i under h_j

 F_i = event that the total tail(k) mass colliding with *i* under h_j is $\leq \frac{1}{k} \|x_{tail(k)}\|_1$

Claim 1. If $E_i \cap F_i$ holds, then $|C_j, h_j(i) - x_i| \leq \frac{1}{\bar{k}} ||x_{tail(k)}||_1$ Claim 2. If $\mathbb{P}(\bar{E}_i \cup \bar{F}_i) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, where $\mathbb{P}(\bar{E}_i \cup \bar{F}_i) \leq \mathbb{P}(\bar{E}_i) + \mathbb{P}(\bar{F}_i)$

Proof. Note that t=4k

For
$$E_i$$
:
Let $\beta_q = \begin{cases} 1 \text{, if } h_j(q) = h_j(i) \\ 0 \text{, otherwise} \end{cases}$
 $\mathbb{P}h_j(\bar{E}_i) = \mathbb{P}_{h_j}(\sum_{q \neq i, q \in head(k)} \beta_q \ge 1) \le \frac{\mathbb{E}(\sum \beta_q)}{1} \le \frac{1}{4}$

For \overline{F}_i :

Point Query

- 1. Idea: query(i) for each *i* then return the *i* with top k $|\tilde{x}_i|$ values.
- 2. One issue: (Let's define $Err(k) = \frac{1}{k} ||x_{tail(k)}||_1$) We have $x_i = Err(k)$, maybe $\tilde{x}_i = x_i$ (no error). And there is a $x_{i'} = \frac{1}{1.9} \cdot Err(k)$, and may have error $\tilde{x}_i = x_i + Err(k)$. Therefore, we are going to think that $x_{i'} > x_i$, although it is not true. So, below we are going to show how to fix this issue.

Fix

- 1. Run Point Query structure with k' = 4k.
- 2. Return L = top 3k indices.
- 3. Set $\eta = \frac{\delta}{n}$, n is the length of vector **x**

Claim 3. $\mathbb{P}(L \text{ satisfies the true top } 3k \text{ indices}) \geq 1 - \delta$

Proof. $\mathbb{P}(\exists i \text{ such that } query(i) \text{ fails}) < \eta \cdot n = \delta$, where n is union bound

Remark

- 1. A true $\frac{1}{k}$ -Heavy Hitter appears as $\geq true value \frac{1}{4k} \geq \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{k}$
- 2. For i' to look bigger, $|x_{i'}|$ hard to be $\geq \frac{1}{2k}$, so $\frac{1}{2k} + \frac{1}{4k} \geq \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{k}$

3. # indices $\geq \frac{1}{2k} \cdot ||x_{tail(k)}||_1$ is $\leq 3k$

Algorithm	Space	Update	Query time	Failure probability
[CM] _[1]	klgn	lgn	nlgn	1/poly(n)
[CM] _[1] (strict)	klg²n	lg²n	klg²n	1/poly(n)
[4] (strict)	klgn	klgn	klg ^{1.01} n	1/poly(n)
[4] (general)	klgn	klgn	klg ^{1.01} n	1/poly(n)

Comparison Chart

Figure	2:	Com	parison	Chart
--------	----	-----	---------	-------

3 l_2 - Heavy Hitter / Point Query

Solved by Count Sketch [2]. Structure similar to Figure 1.

- 1. $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ (2-wise), $[n] \to \{-1, 1\}$ 2. $update(i, \triangle), C_{j,h_j(i)} \leftarrow C_{j,h_j(i)} + \triangle$, where $\triangle = \sigma_j(i) \cdot \hat{\triangle}$
- 3. query(i): output $\tilde{x}_i = \underset{L \leq j \leq r}{\text{median}} \sigma_j(i) \cdot C_{j,h_j(i)}$

Claim 4. $\mathbb{P}(|\tilde{x}_i(j) - x_i| > \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \cdot ||x_{tail(k)}||_2) < \frac{1}{3}$, where $Err_x(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \cdot ||x_{tail(k)}||_2$

Proof.

$$\mathbb{P}(|\tilde{x}_i(j) - x_i|^2 > Err_2^2(k)) < \frac{\mathbb{E}(\sigma_j(i) \cdot C_{j,h_j(i)} - x_i)^2}{\frac{1}{k} \|x_{tail(k)}\|_2^2} < \frac{1}{3}$$

	_	-	۰.

4 Insertion only

$l_1 \frac{1}{k}$ -Heavy Hitter

For more details, you can look at paper [3]. We use the following example to illustrate the idea of identifying a heavy hitter. Suppose that we have one counter associated with the candidate HH index. If x_i of the stream comes, then the counter is incremented when the i matches with HH.

Otherwise, the counter associated with HH is decremented. If the counter is zero, we reset the candidate HH with the incoming element.

For example, suppose that the input stream is $\{2, 1, 1\}$. So 2 comes first and 2 will become the candidate HH which sets the counter to 1. The next element decreases the counter back to 0 (since 1 does not equal to 2). Finally, when the second 1 comes in, the candidate HH is reset to 1 (with counter value 1).

l_2 -Heavy Hitter in insertion only

Refer to the paper [5], the algorithm BPTree for l2 heavy hitters in insertion-only streams can achieves $O(\epsilon^{-2} \log \epsilon^{-1})$ words of memory and $O(\log \epsilon^{-1})$ update time, which is the optimal dependence on n and m.

The BPTree algorithm runs a series of $\theta(\log n)$ rounds where the goal of each round is to learn one bit of the identity of Heavy Hitter. Let us use the case of the super heavy hitter for illustrating how BPTree works.

C-super heavy: $x_{H}^{2} > C \cdot \sum\limits_{j \neq i} x_{j}^{2}$

We have C0 and C1, are used for keeping the tracks. Learning the super heavy item (H) is done bit-by-bit. When an item comes, the first bit of the item is checked. If it is a 0, then it is send to C0 to do a dot product with random ± 1 vector. Run this for a while, what we are going to expect is that the C corresponding to the super heavy item is bigger, because the item is super heavy. So we shall assume the first bit is 1 if C1 is big. Based on this, bit by bit identification can be done.

References

- [1] Graham Cormode, S. Muthukrishnan. An improved data stream summary: the count-min sketch and its applications. *Journal of Algorithms*, 55(1):58–75, 2005.
- [2] Moses Charikar, Kevin C. Chen, Martin Farach-Colton. Finding Frequent Items in Data Streams. ICALP 2002: 693–703.
- [3] J. Misra, David Gries. Finding Repeated Elements. Science of Computer Programming2, 143–152, 1982.
- [4] K. Larsen, J. Nelson, Huy L.Nguyen, M. Thorup. Heavy hitters via cluster-preserving clustering. IEEE 57th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, 2016.
- [5] V. Braverman, S. Chestnut, N. Ivkin, J. Nelson, Z. Wang, D. Woodruff. BPTree: an l2 Heavy Hitters Algorithm Using ConstantMemory. 36th ACM SIGMOD-SIGACT-SIGAI Symposium, 2017.